RONALD EUGENE JAMES,

v.

GENERAL,

Petitioner,

Respondent.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 2:23-cv-00037 KJM AC P

ORDER

Petitioner, a pretrial detainee proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 17, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 3. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court //////

	Case 2:23-cv-00037-KJM-AC Document 5 Filed 03/06/23 Page 2 of 2
1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 17, 2023 (ECF No. 3), are adopted in
5	full;
6	2. Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed without prejudice;
7	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.
8	§ 2253; and
9	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.
10	DATED: March 6, 2023.
11	100 00 /
12	CHIEF THE STATES DISTRICT HIDSE
13	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	